

Justin Peterson

From: Helen Anderson <happydogs27@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 18, 2021 8:02 PM
To: Christine Orchard; Holly Hamilton; Jacqueline Danos; Lance Bloch; Loren Dickinson; Office Admin; Justin Peterson
Subject: Lighting Ordinance revisited
Attachments: legal Response.pdf

Hi Commissioners,

We recently learned the Attorney has made comments on the lighting draft we sent to Council. We should discuss this at our meeting tomorrow.

See the Lighting Ordinance attachment to the City Council Agenda for January 20. Here is the link. Brace yourself, it is 85 pages. I have not seen the actual comments from the attorney. I've just seen what Justin has summarized in his introductory memo (page 1 and a little of page 2).

<https://www.yachatsoregon.org/AgendaCenter/ViewFile/Item/1384?fileID=3756>

Lance drafted some responses to these comments. See the attached document.

I made the following comments to Lance:

- A. I had a concern that we distinguished 1 and 2 family homes from larger ones. For the larger ones, we said 3 or more family homes. That was changed to "multifamily" - which to me includes a 2 family home. I think it needs to stay 3 or more.
- B. I'm not a fan of making distinctions on marine lighting based on commercial v residential use. I think vacation rentals muck up the distinction even more. I honestly don't see how we justify different treatment there.
- C. I've had a few people really not like the 11 pm curfew. Several people have suggested it would be better to set the curfew as a time period after sunset. That makes logical sense to me. Sunset in late June is around 9p. 2 hours after sunset gives people 60-90 minutes of seeing the ocean in the dark. Just a thought.

We can discuss all of this tomorrow. See you then!
Helen